



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,058	04/01/2004	J. Brian Bagwell	32984-01	9636

7590 02/14/2006

SARA A. CENTIONI
NEXSEN PRUET, LLC
POST OFFICE DRAWER 2426
COLUMBIA, SC 29202-2426

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, JIMMY T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3725

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,058	BAGWELL, J. BRIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy T. Nguyen	3725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jimmy T. Nguyen.

(3) Brian Bagwell.

(2) Sara Centioni.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Pac-Bloc and examples of roll off containers vs. standard containers.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: VDG and Gould.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed the differences between the compaction system of VDG and the compaction system of the instant invention. Applicant agreed to amend claims 1, 7 and 13 to more specifically define the structural arrangement of the compaction weight in order to overcome the rejections based on the compaction weight as disclosed by VDG and to define the characteristic of the roll off container (claims 7 and 13).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required